

# Project Notebook - Project: Equilibrium

Julian Geheeb      Lucas Leder      Yannik Melzer

Master Practical Course Games Engineering: Computer Graphics  
and Visualization - SS 2021

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Formal game proposal</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Game Description . . . . .	5
1.1.1	Interpreting the Theme . . . . .	5
1.1.2	Basic Idea . . . . .	5
1.1.3	Name Design . . . . .	8
1.1.4	Player Character Abilities . . . . .	8
1.1.5	Graphics, Transition and Sound Design . . . . .	9
1.1.6	Further Sketches . . . . .	11
1.2	Technical Achievement . . . . .	13
1.3	"Big Idea" Bullseye . . . . .	13
1.4	Development Schedule . . . . .	14
1.4.1	Layered Schedule . . . . .	14
1.4.2	Timeline . . . . .	15
1.4.3	Task Overview . . . . .	16
1.5	Assessment . . . . .	17
<b>2</b>	<b>Prototype</b>	<b>18</b>
2.1	Prototype Goals . . . . .	18
2.2	Prototype Description . . . . .	18
2.2.1	Overview . . . . .	18
2.2.2	Gameplay . . . . .	19
2.3	Experiences . . . . .	21
2.4	Revisions to Game Idea . . . . .	21
<b>3</b>	<b>Interim Report</b>	<b>22</b>
3.1	Overall Progress . . . . .	22
3.2	Functional Minimum . . . . .	22
3.2.1	One Enemy Type . . . . .	22
3.2.2	Player Character . . . . .	22
3.2.3	Game State Switch . . . . .	23
3.2.4	One Level . . . . .	23
3.3	Low Target . . . . .	24
3.3.1	Additional Enemy Types . . . . .	24
3.3.2	Second Player Ability . . . . .	25
3.3.3	Basic Sounds . . . . .	25
3.3.4	Damage Feedback Effects . . . . .	25
3.3.5	Second Level . . . . .	25
3.3.6	Level Selection Menu . . . . .	25
3.4	Desirable Target . . . . .	26
3.4.1	Second Input Control Scheme . . . . .	26
3.4.2	Settings Menu . . . . .	26
3.4.3	Pick-Up Ability . . . . .	26
3.4.4	One Boss . . . . .	27
3.4.5	Third Level . . . . .	27

3.4.6	User Interface . . . . .	27
3.4.7	Visual Upgrades . . . . .	28
3.5	Design Revisions . . . . .	28
<b>4</b>	<b>Alpha Release</b>	<b>29</b>
4.1	Overall Progress . . . . .	29
4.2	Desirable Target . . . . .	29
4.2.1	Settings Menu . . . . .	29
4.2.2	One Boss . . . . .	29
4.2.3	User Interface . . . . .	29
4.2.4	Visual Upgrades . . . . .	30
4.3	High Target . . . . .	30
4.3.1	Controller Support . . . . .	31
4.3.2	Custom Control Schemes . . . . .	31
4.3.3	Sound Design . . . . .	32
4.3.4	Score System . . . . .	32
4.3.5	Story/Dialogue . . . . .	32
4.3.6	More Ability Pick-Ups . . . . .	32
4.3.7	More Boss Fights . . . . .	32
4.3.8	More Levels . . . . .	33
4.4	Design Revisions . . . . .	34
<b>5</b>	<b>Playtesting</b>	<b>36</b>
5.1	Approach . . . . .	36
5.2	Overall . . . . .	36
5.2.1	Questions . . . . .	36
5.2.2	Results . . . . .	36
5.3	Usability . . . . .	37
5.3.1	Questions . . . . .	37
5.3.2	Results . . . . .	38
5.4	Gameplay Design . . . . .	38
5.4.1	Questions . . . . .	38
5.4.2	Results . . . . .	38
5.5	Graphic Design . . . . .	38
5.5.1	Questions . . . . .	39
5.5.2	Results . . . . .	39
5.6	Balancing . . . . .	39
5.6.1	Questions . . . . .	39
5.6.2	Results . . . . .	39
5.7	Evaluation . . . . .	40
5.8	Planned Changes . . . . .	40
5.8.1	Priority 1 . . . . .	40
5.8.2	Priority 2 . . . . .	41
5.9	Implemented Changes . . . . .	41

<b>6 Conclusion</b>	<b>42</b>
6.1 Final Results . . . . .	42
6.1.1 UI . . . . .	42
6.1.2 Visuals . . . . .	42
6.1.3 Gameplay . . . . .	45
6.1.4 Further Screenshots . . . . .	46
6.2 Commentary . . . . .	48

# 1 Formal game proposal

The game is being developed for a Master Practical Course which has an underlying theme that the game is supposed to implement. The given theme is *Chaos and Order*. In the following sections, the basic game idea is explored. Furthermore, it is explained how the design principles of the game fit the theme, which technical achievements can be implemented and the current development schedule is outlined. The chapter concludes with an assessment of the game idea.

## 1.1 Game Description

### 1.1.1 Interpreting the Theme

Before coming up with ideas of what games can be made regarding the theme, it is important to understand the theme itself. Usually there are many ways to interpret such a restriction. In this case, it is easy to associate the *Chaos* part with something negative and the *Order* part with something positive. This might result in a game where the gameplay is not equally balanced towards both parts of the theme, e.g. the task of the player is to clean up a chaotic room or in other words *create order in chaos*. While this approach is fine, the team's interpretation is to *have both chaos and order in a balanced state*. It also leads directly to the connections to Yin & Yang, which is a symbolic representation of a balanced state of two opposites, in our case chaos and order. Furthermore, it describes the idea of having *chaos in order*, which is the black dot in the white area, and *order in chaos*, which is the white dot in the black area, see Figure 1. This interpretation will be the underlying idea of the following decisions.



Figure 1: Yin & Yang symbol. Taken from [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yin\\_and\\_yang](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yin_and_yang)

### 1.1.2 Basic Idea

*Project: Equilibrium* is a 2.5D bullet-hell game <sup>1</sup> with a top-down view. The basic idea of such a game is that the player has to defeat enemy waves by shooting at them while dodging bullets to be able to reach and defeat the final boss of a level. This genre already incorporates the theme of *Chaos and Order*

---


<sup>1</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shoot\\_'em\\_up](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shoot_'em_up)




Figure 2: Screenshot of *Perfect Cherry Blossom*. Taken from [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Touhou\\_Project](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Touhou_Project)

reasonably well. At first glance, the screen in Figure 2 looks clustered and thus rather chaotic. However, having a closer look reveals a pattern, which stands in close relation to order. In a typical bullet-hell game, the actions of the player mostly consist of shooting, which is an *offensive option*, and dodging, which is a *defensive option*. In a broader sense, this can also be seen as a representation of chaos and order. The many bullets on the screen cause chaos, while dodging the patterns brings order into the chaos.

*Project: Equilibrium* tries to further emphasize and balance those properties by dividing the game world into two states, representing chaos and order respectively. Within a level, the world state constantly switches back and forth. In each state, the behavior of the enemies, as well as the interactions and abilities of the enemies and the player character change. When the world is in the chaos-state, enemy abilities also represent chaos by utilizing offensive options like shooting bullets. The player character represents order within chaos, therefore the player character's abilities are of defensive nature. However, the player should still be able to defeat enemies, e.g. by reflecting their attacks or baiting them into shooting each other. When the world is in the order-state, the roles are reversed. The player character is able to attack by shooting bullets and other offensive abilities. Enemies have defensive abilities with a strong emphasis on patterns. Likewise in this case, the enemies should be able to damage the player, by applying the same principles as in the chaos-state with the player, e.g. they can reflect the player characters attack. An example of this interaction can be seen in Figure 3.



(a) Example interaction of player and enemy in chaos-state.



(b) Example interaction of player and enemy in order-state.

Figure 3: Sketch of a storyboard of one scenario in two different states.

### 1.1.3 Name Design

Finding a fitting name for a product is a very an important task. The name is often the first contact consumers have with the product, so conveying the right image can help to increase the customer count. The name *Project: Equilibrium* consists of two parts, each of them giving a different but important hint to the overall theme and feeling of the game. *Equilibrium* describes the balance between chaos and order. The *Project* part comes from one of the more popular series of the genre, *Touhou Project*, so there is a connection to the potential target group. Upon hearing the name, an assumed reaction could be: "Project? Reminds me of Touhou. Also what is this part about equilibrium/balance? Sounds interesting, I should look into it."

### 1.1.4 Player Character Abilities

At the time of writing this, the player character is planned to have three abilities per world state available, making it six different abilities overall.

The first ability is shooting bullets in the order state and shielding in the chaos state. The bullets travel a straight line in the direction the player character was facing at the moment of shooting. The shield is also bound to the direction of the player character and covers a set area in front of the player. Hitting an enemy with a bullet results in damage, so does shielding an enemy attack in the right moment.

The second ability is chargeable. The higher the charge, the further away from the player character the ability effect activates. In the order state, it is a small AOE damage ability that does not have any travel time, meaning it can ignore enemies and possible shields between the area of effect and the player character to deal damage. In the chaos state, the player can teleport to the designated area while having a small shield. This can be used to bait enemies into shooting each other by dodging as well as shielding many bullets at once when teleporting to the right area. A sketch of both variations of this ability can be seen in Figure 4.

The third action is only available to the player as an item pick-up. By making it a pick-up, it is possible to add many different abilities without giving the player too many options at a time. Since those abilities exhaust after using them once, they are usually more powerful than the other two abilities of the player character. One example is an AOE damage skill in a large circle around the player in the chaos state and a large shield all around the player in the order state, both with a reasonably long duration.







Figure 4: Sketches of the second player character ability.


### 1.1.5 Graphics, Transition and Sound Design

The following decisions are tightly connected and therefore influence each other, which is why they are part of the same section. In order to make the whole picture of many enemies, bullets and the transition not too visually overwhelming, the game is planned to have simplistic 3D graphics that represent geometrical shapes and few to no other colors besides black and white. Examples can be seen in Figure 5. Basic shapes allow for easy recognition even when the screen is clustered. Additionally, they work with a black and white color scheme which helps to integrate the notion of Yin and Yang. Depending on the world state, the colors get inverted, representing their current behavior and state as well. To differentiate the objects from the background, the wireframe is outline by the help of edge shaders.

The transition between the two world states is a core feature of the game and therefore needs to be discussed and defined in detail. It is triggered when a gauge is full, but it does not happen everywhere in the game world at the same time. Rather, it starts from a single point or line and spreads throughout the level, swallowing objects, enemies and the player bit by bit. The changes happen per entity, giving the player another strategic element to play with, e.g. dodging the transition as long as possible for certain advantages. An example of desired graphics together with the state transition can be seen in Figure 6. For boss fights or special enemies, the plan is to have the model change while transitioning between states, so they have one model per state.




(a) Example 1




(b) Example 2

Figure 5: Example geometric shapes for potential characters.



(a) Example spread of the chaos state from the middle of the play area.



(b) Example spread of the order state from the middle of the play area.

Figure 6: Mock-ups of the word-state transition.

To put an emphasis on the difference between the two world states, the team is trying to create a noticeable change in music/sound effects when the player transitions between the states. As transition for the objects have a progression depending on how much of the body is covered in the transition, the musical change can progress by the same amount, making it seem like the player is swallowed by the new state. This might be achieved by fading between music or using reverb zones to alter the base music.

### 1.1.6 Further Sketches






Figure 7: Example boss fight.



(a) Example step 1



(b) Example step 2

Figure 8: Example of how the player can bait enemies in the chaos state.

## 1.2 Technical Achievement

As described in 1.1.5, the world state transition has many layers. Implementing a smooth transition for gameplay, visuals and sound while maintaining a playable experience for the player is crucial. The team's technical achievement is therefore the transition itself with all its sub-components. The most important ones are listed here:

- Visuals: Transition shader and object shaders in two different versions, one per world state
- Audio: Smooth noticeable change in music based on the player characters transition progress
- Game logic: Change in player character behavior, enemy AI behavior, interactions between player and enemies

## 1.3 "Big Idea" Bullseye




Figure 9: The game's "Big Idea" Bullseye.

## 1.4 Development Schedule

### 1.4.1 Layered Schedule

#### 1. Functional minimum

- One enemy type
  - Basic model
  - Behavior
  - One ability per world state: shooting/shielding
- Player character
  - Basic model
  - Basic input
  - One ability per world state: shooting/shielding
- Switch between game states
  - Basic shader
  - Change of game logic
- One level
  - Set amount of enemy waves
  - Game over: win/lose condition

#### 2. Low target

- 2-3 enemy types
  - Models
  - Design
  - Behavior
  - One ability per world state
- Player character: Second ability per world state
- Basic sounds
  - Bullet sounds
  - Hit sounds
  - BGM
- Menu: Level selection
- Second level: Making use of new enemy type
- Damage feedback, e.g. bullets, parries, ...

#### 3. Desirable target

- Input: Second control scheme
- Menu: Settings
- One pick-up ability: One effect per world state
- One boss
  - Design

- Two models, one per world state
- Third level: Making use of boss and pick-up
- UI
  - Gauge/Timer for world transition
  - Boss UI
- Visuals
  - Bullet shader
  - Better shader for transition
  - Substitution of potential placeholder models


#### 4. High target

- Input
  - Controller support
  - Custom control scheme
- Sound design: Sound transition with world state
- Score system
- Story/Dialogue
- More ability pick-ups
- More boss fights
- More levels
  - Making use of new bosses and pick-ups
  - Tutorial

#### 5. Extras

- Endless mode
- Different player characters
- Local multiplayer
- Level editor

### 1.4.2 Timeline



### 1.4.3 Task Overview

In the context of the following table,  $p$  is short for *person*, e.g. 1h/p stands for *one hour per person*.

Task	Description	Assigned people	Estimated time
Documentation	Writing, sketching, mock-ups, brainstorming ideas, ...	All	50h/p
Presentations	Preparation, discussion, ...	All	4h/p per presentation
Trailer	Editing, storyboarding, ...	All	10h
Prototype	Design, creating, ...	All	9h/p
Character	Design, art, animation, ...	Lucas, Yannik	4h/p
Level Design	Waves, transitions, ...	Lucas	16h
Gameplay Design	Weapon design, enemy types, ...	All	12h/p
Audio	SFX + BGM, implementation	Julian	12h
Visuals	Bullet shaders, particle effects, ...	Yannik	20h
Transition	Game Logic	Lucas	20h
Transition	Shader	Yannik	24h
Transition	Sound	Julian	16h
Enemies	First enemy	Julian	8h
Enemies	Second to fourth enemy	Lucas	16h
Enemies	First boss	Yannik	12h
Enemies	Additional enemies and bosses	All	6h per enemy
Player	Input, abilities, ...	Julian	28h
Player	First pick-ups	Lucas	8h
Menu	Level selection	Yannik	4h
Menu	Settings	Julian	8h
UI	Design, art	All	4h/p
UI	Implementation	Julian	4h
Tutorial	Dedicated level, pausing for explanation	Lucas	12h
Story	Writing dialogues, implementing	Yannik	12h
Playtesting	Implementation of feedback from playtesting sessions	All	$\infty$ h/p



## 1.5 Assessment

The main strength of *Project: Equilibrium* is the fast and engaging but simplistic gameplay. Furthermore, it stands out from traditional bullet-hell games because of the state transition, which makes it rather unique. The state transitions are also the most interesting part of the game, specifically the interactions between enemies and the player character during them. If done correctly, the players can have different tactics like delaying or forcing a transition because of certain advantages, which gives the simple gameplay another level of depth for those who are a more serious gamer type. However, getting this transition right is crucial to the success of the idea.

The target audience are mostly fans of shoot'em ups or bullet-hell games. Nevertheless, *Project: Equilibrium* still offers incentives for those who are not typically fans of that genre due to its uniqueness. The players kill enemies while avoiding their own death by constantly managing the different abilities that are at their disposal at the current time. Players who want to take the game more seriously can try to aim for optimization of state transitions and increasing their high-score. The virtual world is rather abstract due to its simple art style, which leads to the story and lore being a secondary contributor to the world. The most important criteria for success is a fluent state transition that maintains immersion and game feeling. Furthermore, the game should be fun to play for bullet-hell fans and beginners alike.

## 2 Prototype

### 2.1 Prototype Goals

The prototype’s goals are to demonstrate and test the core game mechanics, like shooting, shielding and the transition between world states. It should become clear if the game principle has the potential to be fun or if major changes to the game idea have to be made. It should also help to make rough estimates with regard to balancing things like player, enemy and projectile speed, how fast the transition spreads and so on. Furthermore, the prototype should serve to figure out reasonable behavior for the enemy AI and composition of waves.

### 2.2 Prototype Description

#### 2.2.1 Overview

The prototype is made in Tabletop Simulator<sup>2</sup>. The units move on a grid consisting of hexagonal tiles. These can be flipped to represent the transition between world states. The prototype is played as a turn-based board game. Turns are executed in the following order:

Transition spreading → Spawn waves → Bullets → Player → Enemies.

In the order state enemies have a shield, which occupies two adjacent tiles and moves clockwise around the enemy unit each turn. As can be seen in Figure 11, they move one tile each turn in a predefined pattern. Meanwhile, the player can move one tile every turn, but does not have to move. They can shoot at enemies with bullets, which move two tiles per turn in a straight line.




Figure 11: Movement pattern of enemies in the order state.


In the chaos state the roles of player and enemies are reversed. An example of this state can be seen in Figure 12. The latter can now shoot at the player and has no shield anymore. They also move randomly every turn now. How they move is decided by two rolls of a six-sided dice. The first roll determines the direction in which the enemy unit moves, the second determines how far

<sup>2</sup><https://www.tabletopsimulator.com/>

it will walk in this direction. This process can also be seen in Figure 13. Enemies can still move only one tile per turn, hence they will move in the same direction in consequent turns until they reach their target tile. The player cannot shoot anymore in this state, but can activate a shield instead. This shield lasts for one turn and has a cooldown of 2 turns. In contrast to the enemies' shields, the player's does not move around him, but is instead three tiles wide to accommodate to this difference. Furthermore, it only stays for one turn.



Figure 12: Picture of the prototype in the chaos state.



(a) Step 0 (b) Step 1: Direction roll (c) Step 2: Distance roll

Figure 13: Random movement decision process.

As can be seen in Figure 14, both player and enemies can shoot in twelve directions. This means that they can not only shoot in direction of adjacent tiles but also along the edges between these tiles. Since bullets move two tiles per turn, this is possible without creating unusual edge cases.

In both states hitting a shield with a bullet triggers a parry action, reflecting the damage by the bullet to the unit which shot it.


### 2.2.2 Gameplay

The goal of the player is to eliminate all enemy units without dying. To make this easier and not too frustrating for them, the player has three lives. The transition starts after five turns are completed and the first wave of enemies



Figure 14: Picture of possible shooting directions.

spawns in the first turn. Since no transition has started and no bullets are present yet, the player begins turn one. If they do not destroy an enemy before it reaches the end of the board, the enemy loops back to the opposite end of the board and continues its pattern. At the beginning of the sixth turn the transition into the chaos state starts. First, only the middle tile is flipped. In the following turns the chaos state spreads two tiles per turn in all directions until the playing field is completely transitioned. The game stays in the chaos state for five turns again, afterwards the order state transition starts, again starting from the middle tile.



(a) Transition turn 2

(b) Transition turn 3

Figure 15: Transition into the chaos state.

After a certain amount of turns the next wave spawns, regardless of whether the player has cleared the current wave.

## 2.3 Experiences

During creation and playing of the prototype several experiences were made. The realization of how difficult it is to design a fair behavior of enemies and balanced waves is the most notable one. Several edge cases and game mechanics were explored and refined while playing the prototype. For example a decision had to be made of what happens when enemies reach the end of the playing area. It was tested whether enemies should just despawn, start moving in the opposite direction or loop back to the opposite end and continue moving as before. In the end the decision was made to do the latter. It was also decided to let shields parry incoming damage to give the player the option to defend himself more aggressively during the chaos state and make the order state slightly more difficult. Additionally, the speed of enemies, bullets and transition had to be balanced. While the speed of these things in this prototype cannot be directly compared to the speed in the digital game, it will at least give an estimate for initial values to test in the game.

## 2.4 Revisions to Game Idea

At the time of writing, no major revisions to the game idea were made due to the prototype. Nevertheless, several open questions which we could not find a satisfying solution for before playing the prototype were answered, and many game mechanics were refined. In summary, designing and playing this prototype already helped a lot with the development of the final game, and it probably will continue to do so.

## 3 Interim Report

### 3.1 Overall Progress

The goal of this part of the development process was the creation of the first playable version of the game, which means reaching at least the low target of the game, or preferably the desired features. During this time a lot of progress was made.

In summary, most goals were achieved, while some others are still being worked on.

### 3.2 Functional Minimum

The functional minimum goal of the game included:

- One enemy type
- Player character
- Game state switch
- One level

#### 3.2.1 One Enemy Type

A bullet-hell game requires, by definition, enemies that attack and/or damage the player. To setup a simple framework and data structure that would easily allow the implementation of multiple enemy types, an enemy with basic shooting and shielding mechanics was devised. While in the order state, this *Bullet Shield Enemy* - as it is called - is capable of projecting a shield that blocks player bullets. In the chaos state, however, this enemy type shoots simplistic bullets that fly in a straight line towards the player. More about the state switch in a later section.

The aforementioned data structure was created by heavy compartmentalization of the different parts of the enemies' behavior into separate components: The *Health System*, the *Enemy Entity* script which is different for all enemy types, but always inherits from the *Enemy Entity* parent class, and a movement script which is added at run-time and directly dependent on the settings of the currently approaching enemy wave. The level design will also be described in detail at a later point.

#### 3.2.2 Player Character

Of course, a game such as this cannot work without a player character. To focus on both an easily extendable implementation (for later parts of the development) and allow better control of the gameplay, the player behavior was, like the enemy behavior before it, split up into multiple components: The *Player Entity* script acts as a central reference keeper to the player itself (as a singleton)

and its components. The *Player Health System* directly inherits from and thus is based upon the *Health System* already used for the enemies. This allows different game factors to effect both players and enemies without having to access multiple different scripts or even differentiate between both. Lastly, the *Player Controller* script manages all things regarding user input and player abilities. As part of the functional minimum target, only two player abilities were implemented: A basic shooting ability that spawns bullets and fires them into the direction the player is looking and a shield ability that generates a small shield the player can use to block enemy projectiles when in the chaos state.

### 3.2.3 Game State Switch

As mentioned before, the game state constantly switches between order and chaos, with the player and enemy taking opposite roles (offensive/defensive and vice versa) in both states. To make this state transition both smooth and allow for easy further modification of the process, a heatmap was implemented. This heatmap is utilized by the *State Manager* script and the shaders employed in the project.




Figure 16: Example heatmap utilized for both the first and the showcase levels. The transition happens from black to red.


### 3.2.4 One Level

To make level design easy *Level Manager* was introduced. The *Level Manager* contains a variety of functionalities related to levels.


The levels themselves are composed of a simple hierarchy: A level contains any number of waves, which themselves contain any number packs. A pack only spawns a single enemy type in a configured pattern with other settings including the movement pattern of the pack's enemies, the amount of enemies and the distance between enemies. There are a variety of other settings, such as the approaching direction of the pack, which will not be discussed in detail. This

data is stored in a *Scriptable Object* and can easily be referenced by the *Level Manager* which then reads it in and spawns the right enemies at the right time.

For the first level, only simple spawning patterns and a straight line movement pattern were used. Due to its easy nature, it serves as a kind of tutorial level and will be fully refurbished as such in the future.



(a) Level data



(b) The enemy formation created from that data.

Figure 17: An example excerpt from a *Level Scriptable Object* and the enemy instances that are created from it.

### 3.3 Low Target

The low target included:

- 2-3 enemy types
- Second player ability
- Basic sounds
- Level selection menu
- Second level
- Damage feedback effects

#### 3.3.1 Additional Enemy Types

Based on the easily extendable design model, two further enemy types were added to the game: A basic *Runner Enemy* that simply moves across the game field without attacking the player and a *Laser Enemy* that, in the offensive



mode, charges up a large laser which is then fired at the player after a short grace period. In the defensive mode, the *Laser Enemy* simply follows its predefined pattern for now. Different ideas are still being experimented with for the order behavior.

### **3.3.2 Second Player Ability**

As part of the Low Target, the player's capabilities were extended to include a secondary player ability. Just like the first, this ability changes effects depending on the player's state. In the order state, where the player possesses offensive capabilities, the ability launches an area of effect bomb that detonates at the target location and gives the player the possibility of attacking high-priority enemies behind their front lines. In the chaos state, on the other hand, this ability allows the player to teleport to the target location and shortly become shielded.

### **3.3.3 Basic Sounds**

To manage basic sounds and background music, an *Audio Manager* was implemented. This *Audio Manager* possesses a variety of different capabilities, such as managing the volumes of the different sounds (categorized into master, effect, music and environment volumes), simply playing sounds and even the possibility to fade between different sounds, which allows for a smoother gameplay experience.

### **3.3.4 Damage Feedback Effects**

As there are a variety of different abilities in the game and thus a lot of interaction methods between the player and the enemies, proper visual feedback is required to communicate the success of various actions to the player. These are especially important when it comes to more difficult actions, such as the player parrying an enemy or getting hit.

Thus two simple visual feedback effects were added, one of which flashes a red light once the player takes damage, while the other appears as a bright pinkish light when certain conditions are met. These effects will be adjusted in the future, but serves their purpose for now.

### **3.3.5 Second Level**

Using the framework implemented for the purpose of creating levels, a new level instance was created. The second level features more complex spawning and movement patterns, while also including enemies that have ranged attacks.

### **3.3.6 Level Selection Menu**

This menu contains buttons that allow the player to choose a level which promptly is loaded and started. Furthermore, it will contain a proper preview

image of every level once the project progresses far enough.

### **3.4 Desirable Target**

The desirable target included:

- Second input control scheme
- Settings menu
- Pick-up ability
- One boss
- Third level
- User Interface
- Visual upgrades

#### **3.4.1 Second Input Control Scheme**

As the primary input scheme was controlling the player character with the WASD keys and the mouse, a second input scheme was devised to offer more variety to the players. Instead of employing the mouse, this control scheme uses the arrow keys for the player character orientation.

#### **3.4.2 Settings Menu**

Next, this menu was created to give the player access to a multitude of configuration options. These are:


- Swapping between the mouse and arrow key control schemes
- Configuring volume of the game, split into master, music and effects volume sliders.

Also, a main menu was created, linking the settings and level selection menus.

#### **3.4.3 Pick-Up Ability**

Pick-up abilities are a further expansion of the player character's weaponry. They are single-use and have a fixed behavior that does not change based on the player character's state. This allows the player to e.g. keep offensive weapons during the defensive state and vice versa. Pick-up abilities spawn as small items on floor when certain criteria are met. The most common of these is a small chance to spawn when an enemy is defeated. The player can always only hold a single pick-up ability at the same time and fails to collect a new pick-up ability if the slot is already occupied. For the interim report two different abilities were implemented:

- The *Laser ability* fires a short laser burst (similar to that of laser enemies) into the direction of the cursor. This laser penetrates and damages all enemies in its path. This ability can only spawn during the order mode.
- The *Shockwave ability* deletes all nearby enemy projectiles and pushes back enemies, possibly disrupting their movement patterns. This ability can only spawn during the chaos mode.



(a) The collectable *Laser ability*.

(b) The collectable *Shockwave ability*.

Figure 18: The existing pick-ups.

#### 3.4.4 One Boss

The boss acts as the final enemy of the third level. During the chaos state, this enemy shoots multiple bullets in a spread pattern at the player, while showing a comparatively restrictive strafing movement. While in the order state, the boss calls for reinforcements and turns invincible until it turns back to the chaos state or all additional enemies are defeated.

#### 3.4.5 Third Level

Using the framework implemented for the purpose of creating levels once more, a third level instance was created. This level features enemies and patterns of the second level, while also adding the already created laser-firing enemies to the game. In the final wave the player is put up against the boss detailed in the previous section.

#### 3.4.6 User Interface

Unfortunately this target could not be satisfied fully within the given time. Even though a more precise concept was specified, lack of time prevented its implementation. Instead, a simple UI text was added to show the player which pick-up ability currently is active. Secondly, a simple win/lose screen was added which is shown whenever the player wins a level by beating all enemies or dies.




Figure 19: The current look of the first boss.

### 3.4.7 Visual Upgrades

This target also could not be met fully due to time constraints, even though minor improvements were made all-around. However, it will be achieved during the alpha testing and release.

## 3.5 Design Revisions

During the implementation of the first few targets, some changes were made to the fundamental design that was already presented:

- Previously, only four possible directions for enemies were considered. As a side effect of the implementation eight directions became possible. It was decided to keep this unintended feature and fully integrate it into the level design.
- Furthermore, fundamental changes were made to how pick-up abilities work. Instead of offering two different versions of each ability that swap state together with the player, it was determined that each ability only has a single and static functionality across both world states. More information about this can be found under subsection 3.4.3.
- Additionally, a variety of feedback from course members was implemented. Most notably, the color scheme was changed slightly to include signal colors and improve overall visualization.

## 4 Alpha Release

### 4.1 Overall Progress

The goal of this part of the development process was to finish leftover parts from the last section, as well as starting to implement high target goals, while making sure that the game is accessible for play-testers who will become very important during the next phase of development.

In summary, the remaining goals of the desirable target were achieved, while many parts of the high target were also implemented successfully.

### 4.2 Desirable Target

The following parts of the desirable target were not completed during the last part and thus caught upon during this phase or refined to better fit the game and improve it further:

- Settings menu
- One boss
- User Interface
- Visual upgrades

#### 4.2.1 Settings Menu

The settings menu was expanded to offer more different configuration options to the players, namely swapping between diegetic and non-diegetic UI and an option to swap between all of the three input schemes. These options will likely be expanded further at a later point to include a difficulty setting.

#### 4.2.2 One Boss

The existing boss was slightly overhauled to create a more notable experience fighting him, however, the boss is still missing a pending 3D-model change.

#### 4.2.3 User Interface

The UI experienced a rather large rework, as a new way of displaying it was discussed within the team. Instead of displaying flat and simple canvas elements, the UI was integrated directly into the scene in tandem with slight revisions regarding the scene design. This diegetic approach, as mentioned before in subsection 4.2.1 allows for a more immersive experience. Below, side-by-side comparison of both types of UI can be seen. As also already mentioned, the player will be able to swap between these two UI display methods.




Figure 20: Diegetic vs. non-diegetic UI.

#### 4.2.4 Visual Upgrades

Countless smaller and a few very big visual upgrades were integrated into the project. However, many more are planned for the future and were executed during the implementation of the high target. The most important upgrades implemented during this phase were:

- Parry Lightning Effect: A new effect now indicates parries.
- Various enemies received new models.
- Complete rework of the level environment.




Figure 21: Graphical rework of the laser enemy as a close-up in the order and chaos states, as well as seen from the player's perspective.

#### 4.3 High Target

- Controller support
- Custom control schemes




Figure 22: Graphical realization of the parry effect.

- Sound design
- Score system
- Story/Dialogue
- More ability pick-ups
- More boss fights
- More levels

#### 4.3.1 Controller Support

The controller support was implemented successfully and tested with a Nintendo Switch controller and an XBox-Layout controller.

#### 4.3.2 Custom Control Schemes

As part of a design revision, this bullet-point was indefinitely postponed before development of the High Target. The original idea behind this feature was to give the player freedom, because it was not clear how well the pre-built control schemes would function. After intense internal testing, it was clear that these schemes function well enough to not need keyboard binding customizations. Instead, the team was able to focus on expanding other things and might decide to add this feature at a much later point in development.

### 4.3.3 Sound Design


Sound design was vastly revised. Mainly, the background music now is dynamically modified based on the state transition and overall world state.

### 4.3.4 Score System

A score system was implemented to allow players to easily rate their performance. As of now there is no way of sharing high-scores with players on other devices.

### 4.3.5 Story/Dialogue

The inclusion of a story into the game was postponed to the next development cycle. However, the dialogue framework was already implemented and utilized for explanatory purposes during the tutorial level.



(a) Dialogue window used to introduce the player to basic game concepts. (b) UI pop-up used to warn the player of taking damage.

Figure 23: Dialogue and pop-up.

### 4.3.6 More Ability Pick-Ups

Furthermore, the variety of pick-up was increased. Previously, only the *Laser* and *Shockwave* pick-up abilities were available. This roster has been expanded by the *Attack Up* and *Shield Up* power-ups, which rapidly decrease the cooldown of the chaos and order state primary ability of the player respectively. Lastly, a *Health Up* power-up was introduced, which restores a certain amount of the player's maximum hit-points when activated.

### 4.3.7 More Boss Fights

To increase the variety of boss fights in the game, a second boss was implemented. Instead of being a bigger and stronger version of the bullet/shield enemy type, this boss embodies the laser enemy type. It possesses a multitude of different laser-related abilities with its main gimmicks being that it forces world state changes itself (adjusting its laser abilities accordingly) and turning






Figure 24: From left-to-right: Laser, Shockwave, AttackUp, ShieldUp, HealthUp

the formerly protective barriers of the area into weapons against the player. While in the offensive order state, multiple complex attacks are launched by the enemy, which the player has to dodge. Meanwhile, in the defensive state, the laser attacks become more maze-like and block projectiles, which in turn forces the player to find a path through this maze to damage the enemy. Of course, this fight is still subject to change due to the still pending issue of balancing, which will be tackled during the next few development cycles.




Figure 25: The boss firing at two of its four pillars while they are destroyed.

#### 4.3.8 More Levels

For this goal, multiple other high target achievements were utilized. The new boss enemy and pick-ups were used for level design, while the potential of the dialogue system was harnessed to create a tutorial level. The following list shortly gives an overview over each level and its characteristics:

1. This is the tutorial level. Only a few *Runner* and *Shooter* enemies are

encountered while the player is taught the basic mechanics of the game.

2. This is the actual first level of the game. It uses a low amount of enemies while properly introducing the player to enemy packs coming from different directions.
3. In this level, the player is challenged for the first time by larger and stronger packs of enemies. It ends with the first boss of the game. This boss is a bigger and more difficult version of the normal *Shooter* enemy. It can fire multiple projectiles at once.
4. Here, the *Laser* enemies show up for the first time in small numbers, which allows the player to get used to them.
5. To reach the end of this level, the player will have to utilize knowledge gained during the last four levels. The last wave again consists of a boss, this time however a new laser-based boss. This level also contains four pillars/obstacles which the player can use to hide behind. However, these pillars can be damaged by both the player and the enemy and, most importantly, during the boss fight they fulfill a role as adds. This means the player has the large trade-off between more protection during the level or a less difficult boss fight.

#### 4.4 Design Revisions

As with the latest cycle of development, various changes were made to the design of the game.

- As mentioned before, a number of existing features was reworked in some capacity. Since these changes are scattered throughout the previous sections, a short list recounting them is provided:
  - User Interface shifting from non-diegetic to diegetic design
  - Slight changes in the player’s camera perspective
  - Drastic redesign of the level environment
- No custom control schemes: Due to the two already implemented control schemes and the controller support, this goal was discarded. It seemed unnecessary since the the two keyboard control schemes already cover the most common keybindings for such a game.
- Level Obstacles: Obstacles, such as pillars, were already mentioned in previous design iterations. However, a lack of diverse levels and enemies that would utilize them made them obsolete. As the second boss was already designed with the utilization of pillars in mind, they are now included in the game.

- Originally, it was intended to include a transition between background sounds depending on the game state and transition progress of the player (chaos/order). This is now based on the overall world state instead, since it would be too quick to notice otherwise.

## 5 Playtesting

Playtesting is an important part of the game development cycle. One can find rough edges of a current version of the game and get insight from people who are not working on or have no prior knowledge about it. This is especially helpful because many details might seem obvious to the developers when in reality they are not so clear to the player. In order to benefit from the earlier mentioned advantages, the team conducted their own playtesting phase by having 15 people play the alpha version of the game. The following chapter summarizes the approach, the experiences of the team and the playtesting results.

### 5.1 Approach

The overall structure was taken from Tracy Fullerton's *Game Design Workshop* and adjusted for remote playtesting. The demography was analyzed by the help of the warm-up discussion. Three of the playtesters were non-gamers, twelve of them were gamers with various experience levels ranging from casual to hardcore. The main phase was to let people play the game while they shared their screens via tools such as *Discord*. The team members were muted during that time to focus on observing the testers and taking notes. After the play session, the participants were asked specific questions about the game from a pre-defined questionnaire, as well as interesting observations the team had during the playtest. The questions were grouped in five categories: *overall*, *usability*, *gameplay design*, *graphic design* and *balancing*.

### 5.2 Overall

The questions in this category were meant to give a rough idea of what the players' first impression of the game were. They were able to answer however they wanted, be it talking about graphics or about balancing issues or something completely else. The expectation here was that the majority of people would talk about the most impactful positive or negative aspect of their experience. Furthermore, the participants were asked to categorize the game on the *Play Matrix* from the *Game Design Workshop*.

#### 5.2.1 Questions

- Is there anything you want to say about the game?
- Is there anything that you did not like about the game? If so, what?

#### 5.2.2 Results

As expected, the results in this category went into all kinds of different directions. The most common theme was balancing issues with regard to difficulty as well as gameplay. Especially the *runner* enemy type was mentioned pretty often for being too boring in the chaos state. Another fairly often mentioned

problem was the usability of the game. Playtesters would quite frequently not understand core features of the player's abilities. However, there was also a lot of positive feedback about the idea and gameplay overall, especially from the testers that utilized all features to their full potential. Figure 26 shows the individual classifications of the game.




Figure 26: The *Play Matrix* from *Game Design Workshop* filled with the classification of all playtesters.

### 5.3 Usability

Usability was a very important part of the playtesting for the team, since the members wanted to make sure that the implemented tutorial serves its purpose. The questions of this category were designed to elaborate the effectiveness of the alpha version tutorial and find its weaknesses to improve upon it afterwards.

#### 5.3.1 Questions

- Were you able to learn how to play quickly? Do you think there is anything missing in the tutorial?
- Was anything confusing? Please take me through what you found to be confusing.
- Now that you have had a chance to play the game, is there any information that would have been useful to you before starting?

### 5.3.2 Results

The answers to the the questions were mostly answered in the same fashion. There seem to be two major problems with the current version of the tutorial. The first one is that the texts are too long so the playtesters didn't really feel like reading them properly, since most of what is written there at the moment is *lore* about the game world instead of actual helpful explanations. The second one is that there are many things that are not explained well enough, e.g. the second player ability or the effects of specific pick-ups.

To back this up, the observations of the team during playtesting support the feedback. It was not always clear that the primary weapon has a rapid fire mode where the player can just keep the button pressed. It was further observed that some playtesters used the secondary ability, but didn't know about the charging aspect of it. Both can be related to the missing explanation in the tutorial.

## 5.4 Gameplay Design

This category is meant to allow the team to understand player bias towards the swapping state mechanic as well as figure out if the states convey what the design idea and the underlying theme dictate: *chaos and order* as well as *offense and defense*.

### 5.4.1 Questions

- How did you like the concept of the swapping states?
- How did you experience the two different states?
- Can you describe them with only a few words?
- Does chaos and order fit?

### 5.4.2 Results

Surprisingly, there was no tester that did say anything negative about the swapping states mechanic, since everyone enjoyed the different styles a lot. Furthermore, most people described the two states as something similar to the design idea of *chaos and order* or *offense and defense*, or at least agreed that it fits after hearing the last question. However, the two different states were usually not perceived as equally entertaining, mostly because of balancing issues or a lack of understanding for some game mechanics like parry effects or pick-up abilities.

## 5.5 Graphic Design

Since there were some uncertainties about various aspects of the graphic design, the team wanted to ask a few questions related to the design of the world and the UI.

### 5.5.1 Questions

- What do you think about the world layout?
- Is the diegetic UI readable?
- Did you understand that the UI is put on the walls inside the game?
- Do you have a preference regarding the two UI types?

### 5.5.2 Results

The world layout was perceived to be fitting by most of the participants. However, the border of the ground and walls where the colors are inverted lead to some confusions because some playtesters thought the abilities would also be inverted if they were in that area.

For the UI, the team was able to make an interesting observation. While everyone agreed on the fact that the diegetic UI is readable and understandable, the preferences regarding the two UI types seemed to differ based on the experience of the playtester with video games in general. Casuals and non-gamers seemed to prefer the diegetic UI for the looks, while more experienced gamers tended to choose the non-diegetic UI over the diegetic one for clear visuals, supporting the decision for the settings option.

## 5.6 Balancing

The team already knew from a very early point on that balancing will be one of the biggest issues. This is partly because of the feedback from other course members and partly because the design idea forces a balance between order and chaos. The questions in this category were therefore designed to find the biggest problems regarding balance in aspects of difficulty as well as balance between the two states and, as a part of that, enemies' chaos and order behavior.

### 5.6.1 Questions

- How was the difficulty overall? Too hard? Too easy?
- Are there aspects of certain enemies that you don't like?
- Do you feel like one of the states is missing something or the opposite?

### 5.6.2 Results

Many participants stated that the average difficulty is neither too hard nor too easy. However, there many spikes in difficulty resulting in the lack of a real difficulty curve. Later levels generally appear to be easier than earlier ones and the perceived differences in difficulty between waves varied too much. It was often mentioned that the *runner* enemy was too boring to deal with in the chaos state, since the player has no actual way of dealing damage. The result is that

at some point it just becomes a waiting game for the order state. Furthermore, the second boss was described as being too easy and too boring because there is no real interaction with the shield mechanic.

## 5.7 Evaluation

Overall, the team thinks the playtesting phase was a great success. The results look very promising because of two main factors.

On one hand, the swapping state mechanic was well perceived all around, which means that the central idea of the game is indeed something that can be fun. Furthermore, the integration of the theme *chaos and order* seems to be successful, since many playtesters described it as such or agreed that it fits. Looking at Figure 26, one can also see that, contrary to a typical bullet-hell game, mental calculation is often considered to be a relevant part of the gameplay, which is also part of the team's vision.

On the other hand, the team learned about the most important weaknesses of the alpha version like balancing and usability. This feedback is of course very helpful for improving the player experience. However, this critique is very promising, since almost the entirety of negative feedback is about high level details. These can be fixed rather easily compared to lower level foundations, such as the core game design or gameplay.

## 5.8 Planned Changes

After the playtesting period, the team discussed the results and decided how to deal with the problems at hand. Based on the remaining time, the features that the team wanted to implement aside from the playtesting (T), and the playtesting results (P), it came up with a priority list of remaining tasks to be done before the final deadline. Everything in priority 1 is planned to be in the final version, while everything in a lower priority might be added if time allows it.

### 5.8.1 Priority 1

- Fixing of relevant bugs (P)
- Progress bar as UI element (P)
- Significant improvement to tutorial (P)
- Significant improvement to balancing of waves and states (P)
- Laser rework (P)(T)
- Outline shader for enemies in walls (P)(T)
- Implementation of different transition maps (P)(T)
- Model rework for first boss and model-state-swap mechanic (T)



### 5.8.2 Priority 2

- Potential change of runner mechanic (P)
- Improvement of secondary ability visuals and feedback (P)
- Higher quality UI textures (T)
- Ripple effect for enemies in walls (T)
- Improvement of visuals for main menu (T)
- Improvement of color scheme for whole game(T)
- Addition of difficulty setting in menu (T)
- Addition of more sound effects and music (T)

### 5.9 Implemented Changes

So far, the team has started working on a few of the above mentioned changes. The following is a list of changes that are either a work in progress or already done.

- Laser rework: Reflectable with shields; blue laser that blocks bullets; friendly fire for laser enemies
- Implementation of different transition maps: Transition coming as a wall from one side; transition map rotation mechanic for less restricted level design
- Model rework for first boss and model-state-swap mechanic: Two new models, one for order, one for chaos; model-state-swap mechanic
- Outline shader for enemies in walls: Work in progress

## 6 Conclusion

### 6.1 Final Results

During the last step of the development process, the team managed to achieve all goals from the priority 1 list in 5.8.1 and all goals from the priority 2 list in 5.8.2 except the ripple effect.

#### 6.1.1 UI

The UI of the game was improved significantly in various aspects. A new UI element was added in the level scene to show the progress of the player within the current level. Furthermore, the pause menu was adjusted to give the player hints about the controls and the pick-up abilities. The main menu also received a complete overhaul of its looks with new sprites and animations that now fit the theme of chaos and order. Finally, all lower quality UI textures were replaced by higher resolution ones. The results of this can be seen in Figure 27 and Figure 28.





Figure 27: UI rework of the main menu.

#### 6.1.2 Visuals

In addition to the UI changes, many visual effects were introduced. The enemies now make use of a shader that outlines them when they pass through the walls of the play area. The secondary ability is now animated to give the player proper feedback on what the ability does. The first boss received its model rework and its model now swaps with the state transition mechanic. At last, the color scheme of the whole game was improved by utilizing color theory to make the colors harmonize better with each other. The results of this can be seen in Figure 29, Figure 30 and Figure 31.



(a) Pause menu



(b) Diegetic UI

Figure 28: Finalized ingame UI.





Figure 29: Outline shader of enemies crossing through the walls.



(a) State swap from chaos to order

(b) State swap from order to chaos.

Figure 30: The first boss with its model change. The little hovering cubes are only visible in chaos state and appear and disappear dynamically.





Figure 31: New color scheme of the second boss.


### 6.1.3 Gameplay

The gameplay was finalized in many different aspects. The tutorial was reworked to go into more detail about the mechanics and abilities and it is now less text-bound. The *runner* enemy type now has an *enraged* state where it chases the player after a certain threshold of defeated enemies is reached. Furthermore, two new transition maps were introduced that are used for a more diverse transition experience and the laser rework was finished so that the lasers are now reflectable by player shields. An example of this can be seen in Figure 33. Finally, the wave balancing was adjusted to have more fitting difficulty curve with less spikes between waves. To further help with the balancing, a difficulty setting was added to the settings menu.

### 6.1.4 Further Screenshots




(a) Level 1



(b) Level 2

Figure 32: Level 1 and 2 in action.



(a) Level 3



(b) Level 4

Figure 33: Level 3 and 4 in action.

## 6.2 Commentary

In the following section we (the team) want to provide a personal commentary of our experience during the class.

We are very proud with how well we were able to materialize our initial design ideas into the final game. We feel like the two different states work very well with a bullet-hell game and the feedback from the playtesting sessions was also very positive. The swap between states feels very smooth and it results in a significant change in the gameplay, while staying true to the overall game type.

We were also able to follow our development schedule without any significant deviations. There was a slight delay in the beginning because of technical difficulties, but we managed to catch up after a few weeks. In the end, we even had enough time to implement new features and ideas that we had during development, while also implementing the feedback from the playtesting sessions. We think this is mostly because we tried to follow the approach of *doing one thing very well*. Our initial scope was not too high and it turned out to be the perfect fit for the given development time.

In the beginning, creating a development schedule seemed like it would hinder our productivity, since we were used to the fact that, during game development, the situation changes frequently. Despite that, in hindsight the development schedule was overall very helpful. Even though there were slight changes along the way, most of the schedule stayed the same, so we were able to see and evaluate our progress against it. That made the regular meetings we had easier and faster, since we were able to immediately realize when we were behind and what steps we should work on next. The creation of a (digital) paper prototype was also pretty helpful. It reassured us that our idea was something that could work if its done right. Furthermore, it helped us to design some interactions and behavior in detail, which was later implemented into the game with little to no change. The most helpful part of the given project structure was the playtesting phase. Many problems with the alpha release became clear, since our view on the game was extremely different from the view of someone with no knowledge about it. As can be seen in 5.8.1 and also partly in 5.8.2, we changed various elements of the game as a result of the playtesting sessions to improve the player's experience and the overall quality of our game. Even during the last two weeks of development, we sometimes reached out to former playtesters to hear their opinions about the changes, which further helped us to polish the game for the final release.

Overall, the experience with the course was very positive. We are very happy with and proud about our result and we even consider expanding upon it for the presentation at the *Demo Day*, with some additional features and *challenge levels*. It is the first project we would feel confident enough to publish for a portfolio or something similar. At first, the schedule for the semester seemed really compressed during its first few weeks with too much air towards end. However, the organizers were very flexible about it and adjusted the schedule on request, which definitely improved the overall experience. We would love to see a similar module expand over the course of two semesters with a focus on



writing code that scales better over time. Even though the project phase was only three months long, this was our biggest project so far and we definitely noticed that the project structure would need to be cleaned up if we wanted to work on it much longer than just a few months. The given theme was awesome to work with, since it allows for many cool ideas. We think it is fair to say that, without the theme, *Project: Equilibrium* could not have existed in the first place. Since we are very happy with our result, not having the theme would have been unfortunate. For our next projects, we are most likely going to refrain from using *Unity's Universal Render Pipeline*. Even though it is tagged *production ready* by the Unity team, we felt like it is not there just yet, since we encountered many bugs and the workflow could still be improved. In summary, our experience with the project, the theme, the course and within the team was very pleasant and we hope to have similar experiences in the future.