

TUM Travel: The Final Chance *Milestone VI*

Milestone I

1. GAME DESCRIPTION

GAME LORE: “A university student who just graduated walks into the MI building for the last time as a registered student. As he walks down the magistrale, he feels both nostalgic and proud at the same time. Once he is in front of the library, he spots a weird anomaly right next to the lockers at the library entrance. The anomaly he spotted was nothing more than a portal that opened into a computer laboratory. Apparently, the LRZ computer lab at Garching was experimenting with a time-space continuum that caused unexpected behaviours around the campus for the first time. Unluckily, our protagonist was the first person to witness such a thing. As he approaches the portal, he gets sucked into it and finds himself in front of the SuperMUC-NG, LRZ’s supercomputer, which is now capable of sending TUM students back two years into the past. After the reality around him begins to shake unexpectedly, he doesn’t understand what’s going on and checks his phone to see if everything progresses in a normal manner. That is when he realizes that he has travelled in time back to semester one. Although the machine’s capabilities were unknown so far, he was the first to experience these ambiguities in time. Then, a brilliant idea pops into his head. This time, all the frustration and suffering he experienced during his university years have now created a unique opportunity for him to relive these experiences; everything will happen the way he wants. He has a chance to take revenge on all of the painful courses that caused him anxiety and sleepless nights with one goal in mind. To beat the students who caused him to get curved down.”

The game starts with the aforesaid story in mind. After a short cutscene to establish the character's presence, we decided to include a brief intro animation similar to the beginning intro of the famous game Pizza Tower ([link](#)). Then, the student finds himself in the Magistrale corridor, which corresponds to the very beginning of the game.

Design Decisions Grounded in Theme:

- Time travel & Nostalgia + redo life choices = *literal connection to the theme*
- Academic struggle & performance & familiar environment = *emotional connection to the theme*
- Portal anomaly = *sci-fi / surreal tone*
- Comic satire = distancing from reality = *thematic intent, captures the literal and emotional connection*

Game Genre&Design Choices: The game belongs to the classic Beat'em Up genre, where the player has to beat waves of enemies, which are our beloved students, TAs, and Professors, with a clear intention of portraying everyone we'll include in a funny, friendly, and anonymous manner. We respect everyone equally and definitely don't want to offend anyone while making this game. We thought that having a comic-style design, reminiscent of 90s drawings, would best suit this game and genre, as it creates a retro feel that complements the time-traveling theme in TUM. Since there are more than enough creative processes planned for this game (and considering we are just a team of two students with limited time), we thought the game shouldn't contain more than 3 levels. Although these levels are not specifically designed yet, they will be designed following the ideas in mind: one wave-focused area in which the foes will be thrown at the player (a brawling style), one special level that incorporates a pre-boss fight phase with TAs, and lastly, one boss fight with specific Professor/Professors to defeat as a final fight. Scenes will take place in a 2.5D environment, scrolling in a belt-like manner, with depth (tilted z-axis) also included in the movable parts of the environment. We believe that restricting movement to a 2D plane may reduce the player's freedom when interacting with foes.



We aim to have fluent gameplay that doesn't feel dull and disconnected. To achieve this, we planned on having adaptive music that intensifies as the player performs better and enhances the gameplay experience. Composing high-BPM songs with FL Studio and FMOD will be one of our two primary goals, along with developing a highly responsive combat system. We believe that these two processes must be developed concurrently to achieve maximum coherence in the gameplay. To increase and decrease the tension during the fighting sequences, we prefer integrating vertical reorchestration by adding/removing musical instruments such as beats, snares, and melodies. This method, together with track blending (horizontal resequencing), will enable us to create seamless transitions that reflect the current feeling of the stage the player is in. Additionally, various combos will feature sound effects that are somewhat compatible with the track in the background. Although this might be hard to achieve in the first place, we might just have sound effects that don't disrupt the music in the background. On the other hand, onomatopoeia effects from comics will be incorporated into the character's combos to enhance responsiveness in their actions.


During the brainstorming phase, we thought that adding roguelite elements, which introduce meta progression into the game, would be compatible with the current theme we have. However, this requires designing complex combinations, arbitrary items that contribute to the permanent progression of the player in a run, or intricate skill trees. Even though these properties would add big depth to our game, we find it challenging to develop them, considering the time we have ahead. However, the good news is these are still on the table, just not as a goal for this semester's project. Instead, we want to integrate a simple checkpoint system where dying regresses the player's progression by moving the player back to the beginning of the current level, which is considerably smaller compared to the previous idea we had in mind. Our team considered removing this game attribute, which became a final decision after reviewing the critiques on the gameslab's wiki page. Currently, we plan to create at least three different fighting kits to introduce various attack variants to the gameplay. By fighting kits, we mean various tools to fight with foes, in addition to basic kicking and punching animations. We can't overlook the fact

that hand drawings take a lot of time and revision. Therefore, we might consider rigging the character versions instead of drawing each animation frame by hand. This way, we'll save time and focus on other more important aspects of the game.


Game's "Target":

- *Tone: energetic, self-aware, humorous academic struggle fantasy.*
- *Feel: crunchy, rhythmic, readable, satisfying.*
- *Goal: not punishing rhythm game – rewarding flow state.*

Main Menu sketch:




Player Mechanics:



[Jumping HK -> crouching MP] is one part, and [Crouching MP -> crouching HK] is another. Combine them for a 3-hit combo.


Level 1: Magistrale – tutorial waves and brawling



Level 2: MI Bibliothek – pre-boss phase



Level 3: LRZ core – professor boss arena



TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS: We considered using Unity as our game engine, taking into account our experience and the game's design. Unity's URP rendering pipeline would best suit our needs, as we don't require photo-realism in our game, but rather expect a VFX-heavy experience. For this, Unity's Particle System (Shuriken), Unity's VFX Graph (URP compatible), and Unity's Shader Graph will be in our tech stack for implementing the game feeling mentioned in the earlier sections.

The game is initially planned to be released on Windows and Mac as the target platform choices. On both platforms, the game will be playable with either a controller or a keyboard. As version control software, we prefer Git with Git LFS for tracking large game assets.

To us, one of the most important technical considerations is the NPC behaviour. Using a Hierarchical Finite State Machine for determining states, as well as using a Utility AI for picking the actions, would be the better choice for the scope of this game. Since we don't want the transitions to be perceived as too strict or dull, sub-state management within the combat would make the NPCs appear more intelligent. Additionally, we are aware of the problems, such as NPC attack coordination, positioning, and selecting the appropriate action in a given situation when multiple NPCs are present. To control and balance this, we decided to use an Enemy Group Coordinator (e.g., one attacker, one flanker, others circle/taunt) to help the player get some breathing room during the fight


sequences. For performance reasons, staggering enemy AI updates and tick throttling are great technical design choices for scenes where a bunch of enemies are thrown at the player in waves.

Saving the player's progress and spawning them at a checkpoint after death should be considered. Saving checkpoints to a JSON file or using Unity's Player Prefs would enable us to save progress via completed actions or passed stages in the game. This method is both cheap and easy to implement with a Save Manager script.

Mock UI / UX and HUD Vision:



Optional BPM HUD (debug + overlay):



Comic onomatopoeia pop-ups:



GPA Score Progression:

3.4 → 3.4 → 3.0

FUTURE PLANS&DLC CONTENT: Since the game in our minds is hard enough to implement in a coherent way, plans in this section are for future considerations. Previously, we mentioned having adaptive music incorporated into our game. To extend this, we thought of not only having an adaptive music scheme but also having this music in sync with the gameplay. The player's movement, combos, and interactions with the foes would also be synced with the track's BPM. In short, having a similar gameplay to HiFi Rush would be the ideal scenario. That is why this will be implemented if we have enough time after stabilizing all of the stages we mentioned in the above sections.

Additionally, we plan to add a local co-op feature to the game mode. We believe this immensely expands the gameplay experience of a beat'em up game, since having a partner in crime in this type of game is always more fun.

2. TECHNICAL ACHIEVEMENT

The core technical focus of our project is the development of a polished experience which involves fighting smart NPCs and having a performance-aware adaptive music engine. While our game is not primarily a rhythm game, we aim to meaningfully merge musical structure with beat'em up gameplay to enhance responsiveness, player immersion, and combat feedback. As mentioned before, we will consider using FMOD to achieve this within Unity.

The technical goal can be further analyzed in the following:

- The soundtrack dynamically reacts to combat intensity (vertical re-orchestration & horizontal re-sequencing) - **MAIN GOAL**
- Visual feedback (VFX pulses, hit flashes, camera bumps) enhance gameplay experience - **MAIN GOAL**
- Player attacks and hit reactions selectively align with BPM-based timing - **NICE TO HAVE**
- AI attack patterns are subtly biased towards the beat accents to create perceived flow without forcing rhythm play - **NICE TO HAVE**

To achieve this, we will:

- Follow basic game design principles for handling NPC states
- Use FMOD timeline beat callbacks
- Trigger adaptive audio layers based on gameplay parameters (combo state, wave intensity, hit streaks)
- DSP clock synchronization

3. BULLSEYE

The big idea behind our game is to create an experience that all players will enjoy and find creative, but also an experience that TUM students, especially, will appreciate, as the whole narrative and locations within the game revolve around themes and areas that every person studying at this university has experienced.

At its core, our project is a humorous game that relives academic life at TUM and reshapes it through exaggerated combat and playful time-loop storytelling. The game's world, characters, humor, and tone build on recognizable experiences from the TUM student journey, turned into a comic and energetic beat 'em up.


Technically, the game innovates by integrating an adaptive music system alongside engaging NPC encounters. The two elements – the TUM time-loop comedic vision and the smart combat system – represent the central focus of our project and guide all design and implementation decisions.

CORE IDEA (Inner Circle):

Relive your studying journey by fighting through exaggerated academic challenges in a comic-style, time-loop beat 'em up – where student struggle becomes the motive to beat up your favourite TUM associates.

Technical Innovation (Outer Circle):

A smart, NPC-aware combat system that synchronizes audio, combat flow, visual feedback, and responsive gameplay cues.



4. DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE

Functional Minimum:

- One composed music track, playing in the background
- One playable level with a single enemy archetype
- Basic enemy AI using a simple FSM (idle → chase/attack → retreat/defend)
- Keyboard + controller input support
- Minimal placeholder art (rough hand-drawn sketches/prototypes)
- Basic hit feedback (e.g., flicker, sound, simple particles)

Low Target:

- One composed track with clear adaptive layers
- Two playable levels with at least two enemy types
- Improved FSM with sub-states (movement, attack variants, defensive behavior)
- Basic visual feedback synchronized with the music (impact flashes, screen shake triggered on beat accents)
- Simple UI (health bar, score/combo)
- First pass on rigged/hand-drawn animations + improved character art direction

Desirable Target:

- Two composed adaptive tracks, each tied to their level's pacing and player performance
- Three playable levels, including one boss encounter with a simple phase change
- HFSM + Utility AI for enemy behavior (sub-state action selection, varied attacks)
- Fully animated main character and enemy animations
- Refined hit responses (hitstop, camera shake, onomatopoeia pop-ups)
- Improved environment art representing recognizable TUM spaces

High Target:

- Adaptive soundtrack for all three levels, plus boss theme
- Boss with rhythmic-phase mechanics and multiple attack behaviors
- Further-refined combat readability and polish (anticipation frames, stagger logic, enemy roles)
- BPM/Beat HUD for music/feedback debugging
- Additional environment details and unique assets capturing TUM identity
- Optional cooperative testing groundwork (not full multiplayer)

Extras:

- Public Steam page and playable Steam demo
- Entire game synchronized to beat timing (Hi-Fi Rush-style precision rhythm integration)
- More enemy archetypes, boss encounters, and student-life environments
- Expanded meta progression or lightweight roguelite upgrade system
- Local co-op mode with shared rhythm systems
- Full soundtrack album release

Task	Category	Start Date	End Date	Assignee
Proposal Report Prep	DEV	11/1/2025	11/5/2025	Both
Initial Sketches & Style Tests	ART	11/1/2025	11/7/2025	Nick
Track 1 Composition	AUDIO	11/1/2025	11/8/2025	Berke
Core Player Controller	DEV	11/1/2025	11/10/2025	Berke
FMOD Integration (Unity)	DEV	11/3/2025	11/10/2025	Nick
Adaptive Music Prototype	AUDIO	11/3/2025	11/17/2025	Nick
First Enemy Design	ART	11/5/2025	11/12/2025	Nick
Simple FSM Enemy	DEV	11/6/2025	11/13/2025	Berke
Prototype Packaging	DEV	11/8/2025	11/12/2025	Both
UI + HUD Implementation	DEV	11/10/2025	11/17/2025	Nick
Rig & Animate Enemy 1	ART	11/10/2025	11/18/2025	Nick
FMOD Adaptive Structure	AUDIO	11/10/2025	11/20/2025	Berke
Environment Art – Level 1	ART	11/8/2025	11/20/2025	Nick
Second Enemy + Miniboss Design	ART	11/15/2025	11/27/2025	Nick
Musical Effects for Hits	AUDIO	11/20/2025	12/5/2025	Berke
Enemy Utility AI + Coordinator	DEV	11/20/2025	12/5/2025	Berke
Level 1 Build	DEV	11/18/2025	11/27/2025	Nick
Rig & Animate Enemy 2 + Miniboss	ART	11/22/2025	12/3/2025	Nick
Environment Art – Level 2	ART	11/21/2025	12/4/2025	Nick
Track 2 Composition	AUDIO	11/25/2025	12/5/2025	Berke
Level 2 Build	DEV	11/28/2025	12/10/2025	Berke
FX Sprites (Onomatopoeia)	ART	12/1/2025	12/8/2025	Nick
VFX Implementation	DEV	12/1/2025	12/10/2025	Berke
Third Enemy + Boss Design	ART	12/4/2025	12/18/2025	Nick

Environment Art – Level 3	ART	12/10/2025	12/22/2025	Nick
Save System / Checkpoints	DEV	12/8/2025	12/15/2025	Berke
Level 3 + Boss Build	DEV	12/12/2025	1/5/2026	Berke
Rig & Animate Boss	ART	12/15/2025	1/5/2026	Nick
Interim Demo Deck + Build	ORG	11/25/2025	12/3/2025	Both
UI Visuals Style Pass	UI	12/28/2025	1/6/2026	Nick
Alpha Documentation	ORG	12/28/2025	1/7/2026	Both
Intro Animation	ART	1/5/2026	1/12/2026	Nick
Bug Fixing & Tuning	DEV	1/6/2026	1/20/2026	Both
Performance Pass	DEV	1/10/2026	1/24/2026	Berke
Final Mix / Master	AUDIO	1/20/2026	1/27/2026	Berke
Playtesting Results & Adjustments	ORG	1/10/2026	1/21/2026	Both
Build Management & Final Polish	DEV	1/25/2026	2/3/2026	Nick
Final Documentation	ORG	1/25/2026	2/4/2026	Both
Gameplay Video	ORG	1/28/2026	2/4/2026	Both

5. ASSESSMENT

Unique art and music, along with the fluency in gameplay, will be the coolest aspects of the game. And, who would play this game? Literally anyone!! Anyone who wants to enjoy their time in a caricaturized TUM environment, those who appreciate the genre and concept, or anyone who enjoys playing games in general might want to try this game.

Since this is not a serious project that will be published (at the time being), metrics other than consistently following the planned scheme and a player's flow state in the game might be somewhat meaningless for evaluating the game's success. However, if we want to list a bunch of important metrics, those would be as follows:

Core gameplay & Game Feel:

- Combat Responsiveness = > inputs are instant, no noticeable delays
- Combo Flow = > attacks are chained easily
- Hit Impact Feel = > satisfying SFX, visual feedback

Rhythm and Audio Feel:

- Reaction to music = > player notices the adaptive changes
- Sync feedback = > combos are aligned with the tracks
- Hype = > increases the adrenaline of the player

Enemy AI Metrics:

- Balance = > enemies are not too difficult, not too easy to beat
- Positioning = > enemy attacks are in sync

Players' Feedback:

- Fun = > Did the player actually have fun?
- Replayability = > Would they play this game again?
- Flow state = > Does the player get bored? Or in a flow state while playing?

We believe that other metrics are closely tied to these main ones. To make a successful beat'em up game, players must have fun while their responses justify these metrics in the four different categories we listed.

Milestone II

1. Iteration on the Game Idea

1.1 Balancing the Fighting Scheme:


After completing the design process for the prototype, we realized that the attacking and defensive moves of the player and the enemies must be balanced. In a similar fashion to the famous traditional game Rock, Paper, Scissors, all the attacking and defensive moves must have counterplays. This, after all, is a core strategic concept used in classic fighting games, with the moves being attack, throw, and block.

1.2 New Characters:

We added three new enemy archetypes that might be revised in the future. We considered the three enemies to possess the following main abilities: **mobility**, **range**, and **tankiness**. The enemies are as follows:

1. Angry TUM Student:

Sketch:




Properties and Abilities:

This character has a faster heart rate compared to the others, and their **mobility** stands out the most among the enemy types. He carries a coffee cup, which might be considered a throwable object in future iterations. He also has the biggest moveset amongst all the enemies.

2. Smart TUM Student:

Sketch:




Properties and Abilities:

This character uses an algebra book (because she is very intelligent). She can block the attacks of the protagonist on behalf of other foes, even if the attacks are not directed at her. She can also boost others with her presence, giving them more attacking power. In return, this character is more fragile. Accordingly, she is classified as a **ragged** unit, comparable to a sorcerer.

3. Thesis Student:

Sketch:



Properties and Abilities:


This character has gone mad because he is writing his thesis at the moment; most students would go insane writing their thesis. His attacks cause a lot of damage if not blocked, especially compared to the other foes. He moves slowly and requires a lot of hits to take down. Additionally, he carries a coffee mug from his thesis chair. He belongs to the **tanky** archetype.

2. Prototype Notebook Chapter


2.1 Prototype Design Idea: Lane Brawler

We envisioned a game in which a brawl takes place in the middle of MI. In our setup, we have a protagonist (a.k.a the player) with four health points, and the three enemies with only one health point each. To keep the prototyping simplistic, each character has the same moveset, which includes either **three attacking** or **three defensive** actions. These moves have sketches as follows:

Attacking Moves:



Defensive Moves:




The game begins with the player acting as the attacker. In each turn, both the player and the enemy they are currently in combat with have to choose a move to make. First, the player selects one of the attacking actions listed above. The enemy must make a choice about which defensive move to make by predicting the type of attack the player will attempt. According to the moves, the encounter outcomes are as follows:

Action	Beats	Draws	Loses to
<i>Jab</i>	Mid Guard	High Guard	Crouch
<i>Body Shot</i>	Crouch	Mid Guard	High Guard
<i>Kick</i>	High Guard	Crouch	Mid Guard

If the player manages to beat the enemy, the enemy gets defeated in just one hit as they possess a single health point. If the enemy blocks the player's attack, the game proceeds as if the attack had not occurred. However, as an example, if the player plays the "Jab" action and the enemy responds with the "Crouch" action, the enemy gets a counterattack chance, and the roles switch. Now, the enemy attacks, and the player must defend against the enemy's attacking moves in combat. The game continues until either the player loses all their health points or all the enemies are defeated.

The GridWorld Sketch:




An Example Scenario of the Gameplay:

Round 1:

Player: 3-Kick

Enemy: 2-Mid Guard

Outcome: Swap the actions



Round 2:

Player: 3-Crouch

Enemy: 1-Jab

Outcome: Swap the actions




Round 3:

Player: 3-Kick

Enemy: 1-High Guard

Outcome: 1st Enemy is defeated




Round 4:

Player: 2-Body Shot

Enemy: 3-Crouch

Outcome: 2nd Enemy is defeated




Round 5:

Player: 1-Jab

Enemy: 3-Crouch

Outcome: Swap the actions




Round 6:

Player: 3-Crouch

Enemy: 2-Body Shot

Outcome: Player takes a hit




Round 7:

Player: 2-Mid Guard

Enemy: 1-Jab

Outcome: Player takes a hit




Round 8:

Player: 3-Crouch

Enemy: 2-Body Shot

Outcome: Player takes a hit




Round 9:

Player: 1-High Guard

Enemy: 3-Kick

Outcome: Player is defeated



Report on your experience playing the game:

The game, while charming due to its cartoony drawings and basic gameplay formula, felt simplistic and made us realize just how good of an experience a computer game can deliver, in which we can bring all our crazy imaginations to life. With that said, playing using our original drawings and TUM-themed assets while at the same time trying to imagine the moves we perform as an animation definitely adds to the experience and immersion. Additionally, playing the game made us realize that we need a better naming scheme for the moves, as most of these can be described by terms commonly used in fighting arts or video games. The names have been updated, but the pictures showcase the older names.

What we have learned from creating the prototype:

The simplicity of the physical prototype forced us to think of basic concepts that work gameplay-wise which can lay the foundation of the combat system in our implementation of the actual game, that of course being the rock, paper, scissors mechanic that most fighting games are based on. We have learned that we should put more time into designing and finalizing the game scheme, character moves, and combos, even before initiating the development process. Thinking about how to balance the actions, to make everything coherent in a way that was definitely harder than we thought. It is essential for us to determine the basic combat-related gameplay before reaching a point at which changes become too time-consuming. So far, this prototyping phase has not let us fully finalize the core aspects of the gameplay (at least for the first level), but we have come to the conclusion that new types of abilities for the player and the enemies must be added to the game for diversity purposes.

Milestone III

INTERIM DEMO

Basic Functionality

During this phase, we worked on implementing the most essential parts of our game so we have something playable in our hands. This involves the implementation of basic gameplay like moving the character and interacting with enemies, as well as creating assets such as sprites, animations, music, and sound effects, whether they are final or serve as placeholders. At this stage, we also finalized fundamental aspects of our game, such as deciding whether to use a 2D or 3D scene, setting the resolution and aspect ratio, and addressing smaller details like how to organize the asset filesystem and establish naming conventions for those files.


Importance of Assets

Having original assets that match the vibe of our game is super important. We're going for a cartoony feel, something light-hearted and fun, but still polished enough that players can get immersed and want to spend time in the world. Since the setting is a university, achieving that shouldn't be too hard, as most of the people playing the game are already accustomed to the locations.

That's why we're leaning toward a simple art style, with backgrounds that loosely resemble the real locations and characters painted with thick, expressive brushstrokes in Photoshop and Procreate. It should be mentioned that the current art isn't final. Especially when it comes to proper player and enemy assets, it's a task that takes a lot of time, care, and attention to detail, so for now we're using placeholders while we work on getting everything just right.




Sound is obviously another huge part of the game. We want the music to be catchy and energetic, something you'd actually enjoy listening to while getting into a brawl. High BPM, punchy drums, and a rhythm that keeps you fired up. For our first track, we went with a jazzy vibe, almost like an 80s-style saloon fight theme. We also made a second version of the track that sounds less impactful, and that one plays when the player's performance drops. It creates this fun contrast where good gameplay literally sounds good and incentivizes the player to perform well, which is surprisingly satisfying. On top of that, we added basic sound effects for running and punching to make actions feel more responsive. All the music and sound effects were created in FL Studio, using a real MIDI keyboard to record the parts.



Choosing the Right Dimension Style

Considering we're making what's basically a 2.5D game, one where you can move horizontally, vertically, and still jump, we originally started building everything in a 3D Unity scene. Using 3D at first made it easy to handle movement on all three axes. We used an orthographic camera pointed down at the stage and placed our 2D sprites in front of it to make them look like they were standing on the ground.



However, we soon came to realize that the third axis started causing problems. Moving “up” on the stage meant moving along the z-axis, which sometimes made the player clip into the environment if they walked too much. On top of that, we're targeting a low resolution of 640×360 in the most common aspect ratio that's adapted worldwide, 16:9, and achieving true pixel-perfect rendering in a 3D setup turned out to be way more difficult than expected.

Because of all this, we eventually moved the game over to a full 2D setup. Almost all of the game functionality transferred over without much trouble, and now we can guarantee proper low-resolution projection, which is super important since our background art relies on individual pixels scaling cleanly to higher resolutions without stretching. Additionally, removing the third axis means less code complexity overall and simpler physics. The only real challenge with switching to 2D is handling the jump mechanic, which will require a bit of a hacky workaround, but it's definitely doable.

Screenshots from Gameplay





Current Technical Progress

The game in its current state is a good demo of what we are trying to achieve, but there is still a lot more to do. Considering what we promised and planned in the development schedule section of the first milestone, we are progressing more slowly than expected. However, we can confidently say that we have completed all the tasks listed in the functional minimum part. Regarding the low target goals, we successfully completed some of the promised features, such as a composed track with clear and adaptive layers. However, the adaptive layered musical instruments have not yet been integrated into the gameplay. Moreover, we have a simple UI that displays the player's health bar. We also have a basic combo counter but it's not in a state where it makes sense to display it on the game UI as it's still work in progress. As mentioned in the 'Importance of Assets' section of the document, we have a simple sketched character with basic animations, including idle, block, run, and punch. These animations are created with layers in Procreate and can be expanded to include the promised assets in the future.

For the Enemy, we have the Enemy Coordinator, which handles enemy behavior in the scene, such as chasing the player depending on the numberOfAttackers variable. This limits the interaction between the enemies and the player to prevent overwhelming the player with a high number of enemies. Additionally, each enemy has a script called EnemyBrain, which contains the appropriate functions for enemy actions, including state transitions from idle to approach, attack, and fall-back states. The coordinator feeds each EnemyBrain with the necessary positional information, and the EnemyBrain script ensures that the enemy animation states, hitboxes, and movement logic respond correctly to the player's actions.

Each character in the game has a Health script that can be adjusted with an OnHealthChanged event function by whoever subscribed to that event. A character takes damage when the necessary conditions are satisfied, such as when the opponent's hitbox overlaps during a valid attack window. This causes the player's health points to decrease and triggers listeners, such as the health UI or hit VFX, to update immediately. This event-driven structure ensures that all subsystems remain decoupled which makes the logic easier to maintain and extend.

So far, we have three different manager scripts, each with a single Singleton instance. This class is accessible by any of the scripts, as it is public and is responsible for important tasks. The first manager we implemented was the HitEffectManager, which is responsible for pooling the available VFX Object prefabs. The other classes can call the Spawn function of the HitEffectManager. Each VFX Object has a HitEffect script that is responsible for setting the GameObject active in the scene and playing the animation it has connected to the object via the Animator component or playing the ParticleSystem component attached to the prefab. This pooling system allows us to reuse effects instead of instantiating them repeatedly, which significantly improves runtime performance when many hits occur in a short time. Another manager worth mentioning is the EnemySpawner. Although this class currently has simple functionality, such as spawning the first enemy according to the first beat drop right after the chorus of the music starts, and spawning the other enemies one by one whenever an enemy gets eliminated by the player, it already establishes the foundation for future level pacing, difficulty, and dynamic wave generation.

Implementation Challenges

The most challenging aspects that consumed the majority of our initial time were already mentioned in earlier sections, specifically the discussions and the decision-making process of choosing whether to develop the game in 2D or 3D. This decision had a large impact on our workflow, our asset pipeline, and ultimately the general “feel” of the gameplay. On the other hand, the NPC AI proved to be much more challenging than we initially anticipated. Creating an enemy that behaves like a smart agent, reacting to the player, coordinating with other enemies, and appearing as if controlled by a real person, is quite difficult. At the moment, our enemies have no specific functionality beyond chasing the player, idling when the player exits a specific radius, and continuously attacking once inside attacking range. Their state transitions work, but the behavior itself is still extremely simple.

It is also worth mentioning that due to several unforeseen events earlier in the semester, the start of the development process was delayed, which naturally slowed us down. Even though this setback affected our progress, the current state of our systems is promising, and we expect the intelligence and expressiveness of our foes to improve progressively as we refine their movement and decision-making logic.

Another technical challenge we faced was the implementation of the player's combo system. Deciding on the internal architecture for combos, how inputs should be buffered, how we should

track the current combo state, and how we allow the player to branch into different attack sequences required a surprising amount of design work. Our first attempts relied on simple “input windows” hard-coded into animation events, but this quickly became messy and difficult to scale. We later introduced an Input Buffer and a structured representation of combat moves, allowing each attack to define its own follow-ups and timing constraints. Although the system is still not fully integrated into the UI and lacks visual feedback for the player, the underlying logic for chaining attacks exists and can be extended into a more robust combo tree in the future. This challenge highlighted the importance of early planning in combat design, and it will remain an area of active development as the project evolves.

Milestone IV

ALPHA RELEASE

Implementation Challenges & Technical Details

NPC AI

Currently, our NPC logic consists of a Hierarchical Finite State Machine that has the following states:

- EnemyState.Attack
- EnemyState.Idle
- EnemyState.Approach
- EnemyState.Stunned (**currently not functional**)
- EnemyState.Scripted (**currently not functional**)

These states are controlled by the EnemyCoordinator as promised in the previous milestones. The coordinator updates the corresponding target positions for each enemy and assigns these positions to the respective enemy NPC scripts. However, NPCs can also make their own decisions and have their own decision-making logic. They are not fully dependent on the Enemy Coordinator.

So far, we have a solid structure in code that utilizes some of the OOP concepts for game development, such as the Singleton pattern. There is an Entity class and an EnemyEntity class, which derive from the base Entity Class. Enemies have their functionality distributed across several scripts, which are as follows: EnemyCombat controls everything related to combat, EnemyMotor controls everything related to the movement of the NPC, and there is a brain class that encompasses everything, which is called EnemyBrain. The Enemy Brain determines which action to take for each enemy based on the Utility values derived from those actions. Each enemy action, such as approach, attack, retreat, block, and idle, has its own script, which derives from the scriptable object base class EnemyAction. Additionally, we followed a similar strategy whilst implementing the different types of attacking abilities, which currently consist of only the Melee Strike Ability and the Throw Ability. Each action and each ability has its own utility calculation function to choose the best possible action/ability. For us, coming up with this structure was the most challenging and time-consuming part, which ultimately resulted in mediocre performance compared to what we had idealized for the NPC behavior. Some of the utility calculation functions should be assessed or possibly rewritten to ensure that the enemies behave intelligently, so to speak. It is worth mentioning that each time an enemy needs to make a decision, the NPC creates an EnemyDecisionContext Object that has the following properties, provided in the screenshot.

```
public struct EnemyDecisionContext
{
    public EnemyEntity Entity;
    public EnemyConfig Config;
    public Transform PlayerTransform;
    public EnemyRole CurrentRole;
    public float DistanceToPlayer;
    public float TimeSinceLastAttack;
}
```

Each of these variables is used in the utility function to determine a utility score, which is then clipped between zero and one for simplicity. Furthermore, the enemy chooses the best possible action by comparing these values and takes an action. Recently, we realized that the enemy AI behavior has the attribute of having “ADHD”, since it makes decisions almost every frame, which makes the whole system a bit jittery and unstable. The enemy doesn’t stick to the action chosen in the previous frame, or at least, until the action finishes its lifetime. We are currently working on resolving this issue, which we hope to finalize before the next milestone concludes.

Another problem was the solo combat with the NPCs. The flaws in the AI logic become truly transparent to the player due to the problem mentioned in the preceding paragraph, as we present the enemies to the player one by one. To mask this problem, we realized that sending enemies towards the player in batches of two or three is a better workaround solution, avoiding the complications of coding and editing the existing pipeline. To conclude this section, we can confidently say that we implemented HFSM with the Utility AI functionality with a sense of peace of mind. It’s still a work in progress and an ongoing system that we aim to refine further before the last milestone or demo day.

Boss Fight Scene

In simple words, we successfully created and met our creative criterion for the boss-fighting stage. The stage consists of two phases, in which the first one, you, as the player, are trying to beat the computers spawned by the professor. The computers experience a fault error one by one, which makes it easier and more understandable for the player to determine what needs to be done to beat the stage. After the player destroys each computer, the professor comes into play, which indicates that the player has advanced to the second phase of the boss fight gameplay.



In the second phase of the fight, the player encounters the professor. First, the professor turns around and starts charging into the five charging points on the scene, marked with yellow squares. Each time the professor arrives at a charging point, it loses the charge it has to move to the other one for a predetermined seconds (such as 3 seconds). The professor only takes damage when its charging animation is playing, and the professor only deals damage while moving to another charging point. To make the boss fight consistent with the theme we have in

the games lab, we thought of, rather than waiting for the player to beat the professor, after a number of predetermined charges to the charging points, the professor takes the time back, and the second phase of the boss fight starts again. Until the player beats the professor, this time reset functionality repeats itself. In conclusion, after the player beats the professor, the game is simply over and finishes.

Combat Controls

We gave the player four core combat controls: punch, kick, slide, and block. Each move has its own distinct animation, duration, and damage output.

The punch is the most basic of them all. It's the fastest move and serves as the foundation for combos. You can chain three punches together, or go for two punches followed by a kick to trigger a *swing kick* which serves as a fast and high-damage finisher.

The kick is a heavy hitter, but it comes with a drawback. It has a longer animation and you can't start a combo with it. In fact, if you mess up your input during a punch combo and accidentally throw a raw kick, the long recovery time leaves you wide open to get punished.

The slide can only be performed when the player has momentum. It deals a little damage and slows you down slightly as you friction with the floor, but its real value is that it's a perfect way to slip under incoming projectiles, which can otherwise be tricky to avoid.

Finally, the block negates damage from standard attacks, though it won't save you from projectiles. To use it efficiently, you have to predict the enemy's attack timing to block at the right moment.

To keep combat fluid, we implemented an input buffer. You have to enter the command for the next move during a specific window close to the end of the current move's animation. We originally tried a system that let you queue up multiple moves, without any timing in particular, but it felt disconnected and over-engineered. We rewrote the logic to prioritize timing, which fits our game's pacing much better.

Scoring System

We kept the scoring system straightforward. Once you land a hit, your score goes up, and if you take a hit, then it goes down. As the score changes, it fills a number with color which represents your grade in the university. Once the number is fully filled or emptied, you will jump to the next or the previous grade respectively. It's a solid foundation that gets the job done for now, though we might expand on this idea later.

We modeled the scoring steps on the German grading system, where a lower number is better. The best possible score is a 1.0 and the worst is a 4.0. So, for example, ranking up from a 3.3 grade would make you jump to a 3.0. As for the colors of the grades, we followed the grade coloring scheme used in the TUM Campus app, in which the best grades are colored green, and they progressively get to red as the grades get worse and worse.

The music is tied directly to this performance too. If your score slips, the soundtrack starts to noticeably sound worse, with fewer instruments playing and melodies being less impactful. The only way to get the music sounding crisp again is to play better and improve your grade.

1.0 1.3 1.7

2.0 2.3 2.7

3.0 3.3 3.7

Stage and Character Assets

While coding the core functionality of the game, we realized that we were running low on time for the assets, especially the art. We expanded the main hallway to include the cafe and more of the market, but we didn't create a new area for the second level.

Additionally, this created a huge bottleneck, and we decided to adopt a faster approach, which involved giving commands to Google's Gemini to create our character assets. Other than that,

we didn't use any additional AI-created art. This greatly accelerated the progress, and we realized that we are not artists and shouldn't attempt to adopt the skills necessary during this project phase. It's always better to focus on what we can do best for the sake of the project, as also stated in each milestone in this practical games lab course.

While not as catchy as our first track, we used the same piano and drum plugins to create a new track for the boss fight.



The Missing Functionalities

Although we wanted to add a second playable level between the boss fight level and the brawler level, we couldn't find the time to accomplish this promise of ours. In our desirable target goals, we have two composed tracks, two playable levels with a boss encounter featuring a simple

phase change, HFSM & Utility AI, fully animated main character and enemy animations, refined hit responses that include camera shake, and improved environment art, all of which have been completed.

We don't have any of the High Targets implemented currently, as the required functionality and implementation time are already a huge burden and weren't designed to be actually achievable by the end of this project phase. Having the BPM HUD, rhythmic phase changes, and adding cooperative functionality to the game are significant tasks in their own right, and we are proud to have made this much progress in the current state of our project.

Milestone V

Playtesting

1. Results from Playtesting

It was clearly an interesting experiment for both us and our game. Some people had a really sharp eye and recognized inconsistencies in the character sprites and the blurriness of the background due to the low resolution, which was an intentional implementation on our side. Even while we were distributing the game executable to our friends, we were fixing minor problems we had spotted and discussed before.

Some feedback aligned with our concerns, such as that the boss-fight level's first phase is a task where the player has to beat three computers that shoot hard-drive missiles at the player. However, it turns out the label color was confusing for them, since we initially put a red label on the faulty computer and the playtesters thought they had to go for the green ones that are shooting projectiles, instead of the faulty computer. We quickly switched the colors and continued distributing the game. Another feedback was the unclear objective in the first phase of the boss fight level. At first, the testers didn't fully understand what to do, but after a few seconds, they said they got the idea: break the computers. Some thought it would be a good idea to write the objective on top of the screen. To us, this means that some things shouldn't be so straightforward and should be left to the players to be figured out.

One of the things we added after talking with them was the transition screen between the levels. Before this change, once you complete the first brawler level with the students, or when you start the game from the main menu, the game instantly starts the new scene without a loading screen. We also quickly fixed that by adding a transition between each scene.

In general, they liked the concept and the variety of enemy archetypes with noticeable behaviours, such as the thesis student's excitement and pace.

Some commented on the weird physics behavior of the enemies, talking about how they pile up on the player who deals a lot of damage. This issue is still ongoing, and we haven't been able to properly figure it out because the Utility AI's behavior is non-trivial. In addition, some thought the combo system was a bit delayed and didn't respond to the controls within the expected time frame. They commented on how inconsistent getting the combos to work feel and how the timing needs to be too precise. This is something we would like to work on before the final release of the game. Some students were also confused as to how the score grade changes but they soon realised it's tied to the damage you take and hits you land.

In general, a lot of the playtesters noted that the combat doesn't feel very responsive. It lacks the oomph they expected from such a game. To keep it more engaging, we added damage pop-ups on player hits to indicate how much damage they caused to the enemy. Ideally, we would like to improve the blocking mechanic and also add a hit stun which should give more depth to the combat but whether there will be time for the implementation of such features is still a question.

Instead, we would like to improve on another aspect of the game: its playtime. Some of the playtesters noted that the game felt too short and they were surprised the boss they fought was actually the final one. That is exactly why we want to introduce a new level, one that's played before the final boss fight, to extend our game's playtime and variety of environments and gameplay.

2. Playtesting Process

During playtesting, we reached out to many of our friends, some of whom were really kind enough to give us constructive feedback on time, before the milestone presentation date. We asked them for any positive/negative feedback they could give us, but here is a set of questions we provided to make the thought process clearer and focused:

- Was there anything confusing about the game? If so, what were those?
- What are the fun aspects of the game you enjoyed and caught your attention?
- What are the boring/unrelated aspects of the game you realized?
- Would you add something to make the game more fun?

A couple of them played the game in front of us and it was very entertaining to see how someone who hasn't had any relation to the development process actually tackles the game for the first time. What was really interesting was noticing how different players performed in different areas of the game. For example, some managed to get used to the combo inputs really easily while others struggled despite being given the exact same version of the game. Also, a few playtesters, especially those without a lot of gaming experience, found the final boss hard and requiring too precise timing to defeat it while others managed to beat it without more than a couple of tries. Those with more gaming experience were also able to quickly figure out what they needed to do during the boss fight while the rest were a bit more confused.

3. Comments from feedback & Conclusion

Below are some notable comments on our game that we believe paint a good picture of the overall feedback:

Playtester #1

- *"I really liked that the setting is a familiar place, and it's hilarious to beat the hell out of random students."*
- *"Sometimes they piled up, and you had to fight several at the same time which immediately gave you a lot of damage - or maybe it is a skill issue on my end, haha"*
- *"It felt like the controls were a bit slow to respond. The delay made it a bit harder to defend and attack at the right time."*
- *"I liked that some students are much wilder, while others are easier to beat."*

Playtester #2

- *"music was banger"*
- *"the part with the computers was my favourite"*
- *"boss fight could be harder tbh, if i managed to defeat professor X easily then the bar is low hahaha"*

Playtester #3

- *"The sprite shrinks when kicking."*
- *"The swing combo doesn't work properly, it's related to the key combination timing."*
- *"The background looks blurry."*
- *"After defeating the first two enemies, we suddenly fight against computers; a transition would be good."*
- *"You need to get a perfect hit to defeat Professor X."*
- *"I found it fun, the animations are nice, the assets are nice."*

Playtester #4

- *"The game area is huge and empty."*
- *"It feels like the hitboxes don't work properly, you can walk into the characters."*
- *"The blocking feature doesn't convey the combat flow."*

Playtester #5

- *"It felt too simple and short"*
- *"The player input and NPC behaviour is not very polished"*
- *"I like the assets"*

Playtester #6

- *"The punch combo feels too slow"*
- *"I liked the mechanic with the professor"*
- *"It would have been nice if the professor would change patterns once he regenerates his health"*

We have feedback from other playtesters too but as it mostly aligns with what we noted down already, we chose to omit it so we can keep the document fairly sized.

The biggest takeaway from the playtesting stage is that making the objective clear in a game is one of the most important aspects. While those with gaming experience can figure out what to do as they might have encountered similar scenarios in other games, there are many who might get confused and frustrated as to how they can proceed. A good game is one that can be enjoyed by a wide audience and can adapt to each players' abilities, whether that includes adjustments to difficulty or hints on what the player is supposed to do. This is something that we would like to change before the final release of the game, perhaps adding difficulty options and a message during the final fight that explains the objective.

Besides this, the playtesters confirmed concerns we already had regarding the feel of the combat. This is an area that we would have liked to improve a lot but it was arguably very time consuming and the hardest thing to get right, especially considering the small scale of our team. That is why we would like to now focus on improving and adding upon what we already have rather than making huge changes to the underlying combat flow.

Overall, this was a very insightful and fun experience and we were pleased to learn that the playtesters also liked the artistic aspects of the game like the funny looking characters, the environment, and the music.

Milestone VI

Final Release

1. Summary of the Final Results

Since the alpha release milestone, there have not major changes. We followed our development plan and tried to add as many promised features as possible from our high-target goals.

Furthermore, before the alpha release, we couldn't find the proper time to implement the second level of the game, which was planned to sit between the first level and the boss fight. In this milestone, we successfully finished the art and the gameplay for the second level, which has a similar play structure to the first brawler level we implemented. Below, the second floor of MI is shown. When the player reaches the number of foes to be defeated, the level simply ends, and then the boss fight level starts. Additionally, we composed a track for this level, as we had for the other two levels we had created before.



For the very first brawler level we implemented, we decided to add some environmental props to make the space feel fuller and more alive, representing student life. We could have added more details, such as some student NPCs walking around and some imaginary staff. However, due to time constraints and other bigger concerns for the game, we decided that this minor art improvement to the environment is sufficient.



As shown in the screenshots, there is a futuristic vinyl icon next to the player's health bar. We successfully implemented one of our high-priority goals: the BPM/DSP clock. The clock ticks according to the BPM of the level soundtrack. Whenever the player hits an enemy synchronized with the beat, the player deals critical damage to the foe. There are three categories, of which the hit can be: a perfect hit, a good hit, or a miss. It multiplies the damage by some predetermined values, and then the enemy's health is decreased accordingly. The player can visually see the hit type next to the vinyl icon.



Another minor but fun addition we thought would enhance the game's artistic side was a cleaning bot in the tutorial scene. This idea came to our minds after the playtesting phase, but we couldn't implement the functionality before the previous milestone. During the late evenings in the MI building, there is sometimes a cute little cleaning bot that clears the hallway. The idea was inspired by that robot, which we also thought could better reflect student life. Additionally, players can try out combos and practice fighting before playing the game's actual levels. The

cleaning bot has a health near 10k, which is kind of impossible to kill, but it can still be seen that the robot's health decreases.



Another really important change during this phase is that combat has an additional layer of depth, thanks to the stun mechanic we implemented for the foes. Previously, the combat lacked responsiveness and the satisfying experience everyone expects from a beat-'em-up game. Right now, we can stun the foes and see their stun animations. Every time a student is stunned by the player, the decision-making process of the enemy AI stops immediately until the stun time is over for the enemy student. We believe this was clearly a necessity and a late delivery for this specific game. In addition, we added the death animations for the students, which are consistent with the current theme, as the characters turn into their ashes and disappear from the game, similar to Thanos snapping his fingers in Marvel's Endgame and Infinity War. Another aspect that would definitely help the combat reach its full potential would have been the stunning of the player once he takes a hit. However, due to time constraints again, we couldn't implement this idea. This would have made the player more aware and more careful in combat and would also increase the use of block, which is currently not used, as the playtesters' feedback indicated.



Furthermore, we added more screen shake when the player takes a hit and when an enemy dies to make the combat, again, more responsive. However, compared to the other completed goals, this is rather a minor improvement to the game.

2. Our Experience

1. How well did your initial design ideas materialize into the final game? Were you able to follow your development schedule, or did you deviate significantly from it?

We can confidently say that the way gameplay and the levels are right now went according to our initial plans. We implemented what we had in our mind, and we can't undermine the work we put into this project. Anyone who studies at TUM and plays our game would definitely find it fun, with much resemblance to the MI environment, and the story of the game, along with the way it was conveyed through a beat 'em up action game with original (and banger) soundtracks for each level. Although we had bigger goals in terms of combining the music with the gameplay in the beginning of the project phase, we had to deviate from that after the feedback we got in our page on the gameslab's wiki.

2. How did the different elements of the project structure (development schedule, prototype, playtesting, etc.) contribute to or hinder your progress?

The course structure made us appreciate the need for documentation more. Creating a document similar to a game design document was really the key to a solid foundation of developing a game. Otherwise, as explained throughout the milestones, it could turn into chaos. This solid foundation clearly provides an end destination for the whole project and is the most

crucial part. Furthermore, although we didn't understand the need for the prototyping phase, we grew into thinking that it was one of the parts that didn't involve developing a game but actually felt like playing a board game, which is a solid product you can see and interact with in real life.

The playtesting phase was important as well, since each and every developer sees their own game from their own perspective. The change of the audience and getting feedback from people who don't know your game was insightful to us.

3. Personal impression: Did it meet your expectations? Are you happy and proud of your game? Do you feel there wasn't enough time or that the schedule was too compressed?

The course provides so much freedom to the students so as to achieve anything in their mind as a goal. The only restriction is the game theme, which is a steering part in the course and the part where the ideas come from. For us, it was a great opportunity to create something we would like and also combine it with other areas of computer science, which was the AI part in our case. After all, the course taught us so much and made us realize how following prepared guidelines can fasten the implementation phase. We are happy that we took this practical course and would do it again with simpler goals in mind if we had the chance again. Additionally, the schedule felt a bit compressed but not because of the tight deadlines, but the perfectionism we have and the overachieving goals we set. Some parts took non-negligable amount of time that slowed other parts down, which reminds us that sometimes, there are no individual parts to be developed and put into our pockets, but the game itself evolves with time and each specific gear enhances together. Overall, we are happy and proud to deliver this game, and we hope that people who play it in the future would like the experience and have fun.

3. Course Experience

1. What was the biggest technical difficulty during the project?

The greatest technical difficulty we had while developing the project was the Enemy Utility AI section, as we mentioned repeatedly in many milestones. Utility AI is something that works like a block box in the sense of fine-tuning, since you can never expect the agents to behave the way you wanted. Although the behavior of the NPCs are satisfactory at this level, there is always room for improvement.

2. What was your impression of working with the theme?

We believe that, especially compared to the previous semester's theme, it was one of those themes where every developer had many ideas. We think that extrapolating to a great idea from the theme should be trivial and not hard. However, even in this theme, finding the idea that suits anyone's goals and ideals might be a little rough. There were some creative moments during coming up with the idea, such as the lore we introduced, where the main character goes back in

time to restore the timeline and change his future, and find retribution by fighting with the university staff.

3. Do you think the theme enhanced your game, or would you have been happier with total freedom?

Except for the lore, we didn't utilize the semester's theme heavily. We only used it during the boss-fighting phase of the game, where the professor travels back in time if it reaches an arbitrary number of beacons. This process not only includes the theme again in a specific part of the game, but also makes it harder for the player to beat the professor. It's evident that the time reset is not straightforward. However, when thought through, it can be understood by combining the theme's pieces, such as the students disappearing into their ashes, and, of course, the theme's main lore.

4. What would you do differently in your next game project?

We would definitely find an expert in art or someone familiar with maintaining art consistency across the whole game. The art was the part that made us stall so much during the development phase and cost us time as we tried to figure out and develop a good art style through trial and error. Luckily, the generation of AI art came to the rescue in this regard.

5. What was your greatest success during the project?

Without compromising too much of our goals, successfully developing what we had planned in the beginning of the course would be the greatest success for us. Even though we had little manpower (2 people working on the project), we materialized and got the job done at the end by delivering a final playable product that is fun and reflects student life. Anyone who studies at TUM can relate to the game and find some familiarity, even if they have never played a beat-'em-up before.

6. Are you happy with the final result of your project? Do you consider the project a success?

The end result would have been better in terms of depth and the way the storyline was conveyed to the players. Since we lacked the artistic side and found a solution near the end, when we had a heavy workload coming up, we couldn't tell a great story through the gameplay. Nonetheless, we consider the project a success that can be further developed and extended to create a great product in the future.

7. To what extent did you meet your project plan and milestones (not at all, partly, mostly, always)?

Although some parts of the project took longer to develop than expected, we believe that we stuck to what we promised and delivered our desirable-target goals along with most of the

high-target goals. We would say that somewhere between mostly and always is where we meet our project plan and milestones.

8. What improvements would you suggest for the course organization?

Only one minor thing caught our attention during the milestone presentations. We think the given presentation time for each team should be strict and shouldn't exceed the given interval. We understand that every group presents its own product with passion and would want to explain every single detail about it. However, when a group doesn't stick to the given constraints, it becomes unfair to those who follow the instructions.